

### STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

On March 26, 2008, one of Applicant's attorneys conducted a telephonic interview with the Examiner to discuss the features of Claim 49, and in particular to present arguments as to why the cited portions of U.S. Patents 6,073,142 (*Geiger et al.*) and 6,654,787 (*Aronson et al.*) are not believed to teach or suggest the features of Claim 49. Applicant's representative argued that the features of Claim 49 (especially the receiving unit, first obtaining unit, and the discriminating unit) are not disclosed by any of the cited references and that the Examiner's understanding of those features is not in accord with the language of those claims. The Examiner disagreed with the arguments presented. Applicant has carefully considered the Examiner's remarks in the Office Action dated April 1, 2008, and offers the following additional remarks for his consideration.